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Student Success – Regents Exams
The MSPinNYC has routinely used a mixture of qualitative and quantitative data to steer the project. However, we will focus on our use of end-of-course, high stakes exams, namely the New York State Regents exams. These exams are:

• required for graduation
• used by policy makers to make dramatic changes in schools (changing Principals, closing schools)
• are indicative of a persistent Achievement Gap in high school graduation
• a very low standard for student achievement

This latter statement is critical because student failure on required Regents exams can be (and should be) interpreted as a crisis in American education. Why is it that only 50% of 9th graders in high poverty, high minority districts can pass the Integrated Algebra exam, which requires fewer than 40% of the questions answered correctly? Can the classroom be changed substantially to enhance student learning and, as a consequence, increase performance on the Regents exams?

MSPinNYC Changes Course
Students in the MSPinNYC summer schools reported that the “tutor,” the undergraduate or advanced high school student who worked directly with them daily, was what helped them succeed. The students reported that they needed their questions answered sooner and that they needed more immediate attention than is available in a typical classroom. However, it seemed financially and logistically impossible to use undergraduates as tutors on an ongoing basis within the typical classroom that harnessed our summer success, making collaborative learning strategies the foundation of the classroom. We facilitated the collaborative learning strategies when the Cronkite team was invited to develop a research project with the New York City Department of Education: a new research-based model of an urban STEM classroom designed to improve student learning.

What is PERC?
Peer Enabled Restructured Classroom
PERC is a new research-based model of a high school classroom designed to improve student learning. A typical urban classroom consists of one teacher and 30+ students. The restructured classroom has:

Outcomes

Challenges (during 2008 – 2010 trials)
• Data Collection
• Comparison Groups

Fidelity – TAS Class
One variable in the implementation of PERC was the timing of the TA Scholar class. Some schools integrated the TA Scholar class into the curriculum, offered credit for the course, and had it taught by the PERC teacher. This required the schools to make substantial programming changes: the teachers taught, for example, four Integrated Algebra classes and one TA Scholar class instead of five Integrated Algebra courses; student schedules had to be adjusted so all TA Scholars could attend both the PERC class and the TA Scholar class; and a curriculum for the TA Scholar class had to be developed.

In the after school and first period implementations it was found that:
• TA Scholars often did not or could not attend the class
• Teacher satisfaction with the TA Scholars was low
• Regents performance was variable, often successful, but not as successful as other implementations. (At one school, non-PERC Regents passing rates were 20%, a first period TAS class implementation was 30%, and a school day implementation was 45%.)

In addition, the “club model” produced a sustainability issue. If schools were unwilling to alter their programming day to accommodate the model, then they were unwilling to truly integrate the model into their school culture – despite improvements in Regents scores.

In the current implementation (adapted by four schools this year) the TA Scholar classes have been integrated into the curriculum.

Going Forward

Students passing but scoring below 75 on the IA and LE Regents exams generally fail to complete subsequent Regents exams in more advanced topics (Algebra 2, Geometry, Chemistry). As the model matures, the TA Scholars have become a primary focus with the intention to adapt the model to more advanced STEM courses and examine the impact of being a TA Scholar on subsequent performance. We plan to use Regents scores, in part, to address the following questions:

• Do TA Scholars succeed in Chemistry and Geometry at rates comparable to non-TA Scholars with similar Regents profiles?
• Do TA Scholars earn Regents Diplomas with Advanced Designation at rates higher than non-TA Scholars?
• Do TA Scholars require less mathematics remediation than comparable graduates?

Roles Related to Student Success
STEM and Education: Curriculum Alignment and Setting Expectations
Education: Design of Professional Development
K-12 Districts: Data Collection and Restructuring Classrooms